

-----Original Message-----

From: KOPISTANSKY, PATRICE I. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2005 9:41 AM
To: ROGERS, GLENN T. (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: BLUMENFELD, LAURA ROSS (OGC) (FBI); THOMPSON, SHAWN M. (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED]. (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: New Nsl
Importance: High

SECRET

RECORD [REDACTED]HQ-C[REDACTED]

Glenn, I have an NSL request from [REDACTED] that came to me in mid-December, and it was in connection with this NSL request that I found out that the information had already been received, and that led to all our meetings. And I never did issue this NSL. And it was problematic because I still had some questions as to the content of the EC and Mike never had a chance to answer my questions. (see below).

Further, since we have this issue as to what investigation we are tying these to, in this case, there is a file number [REDACTED]HQ-C[REDACTED]. I am not sure what file that is. And in the reference lines, it lists file number [REDACTED], and I don't know what that is. Moreover, under the administrative section, it says "reference is made to CAU Project #[REDACTED]." I'd like to know what that is, as well. Does it have something to do with [REDACTED] requests? Lastly, in an earlier version of this EC, Mike had simply referenced the [REDACTED] cable from which all this information comes, [REDACTED]. The later version of the EC, which is attached above, has more information, which I assume Mike took from the [REDACTED] cable. But I still have unanswered questions. That might be resolved if we saw the [REDACTED] cable.

So can you help here, or forward this to someone in your unit who can help.

pik

FYI - Rob - we still have not received the categories of terrorist threats that we can use to determine whether we should be opening broad PIs or doing control files, or whatever. So can you let us know when you might be able to

get that of us. Until then, I assume we can't do any NSLs where we don't have a PI or full otherwise. thanks!

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████ (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2004 2:39 PM
To: KOPISTANSKY, PATRICE I. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: New Nsl
Importance: High

SECRET
RECORD [REDACTED]-HQ-C[REDACTED]

Partice,

Attached is the final version of this request.

Once again thanks

██████████
██████████
Communications Analysis Unit
FBIHQ - Room 4315
202-323-4202 (work)
[REDACTED] (SkyTel pager)
202-324-5178 (Non secure fax)
[REDACTED] (Secure fax)
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: KOPISTANSKY, PATRICE I. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2004 11:35 AM
To: ██████████, ██████████ (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: New Nsl

SECRET
RECORD [REDACTED]-HQ-C[REDACTED]

I expect this will work, if there are some clarifications in the EC. Do we know that **[REDACTED]** is an extremist - is that information confirmed by the FBI or is it simply **[REDACTED]** info. Do we have an investigation on **[REDACTED]**? We need to say that the information is relevant to an authorized investigation, so what is that investigation? Is it the one in the reference line? Or is it the CAU Project number?

[REDACTED]

Also, we need a time frame on this request.

thanks. pik (Also, you spelled my name wrong in the EC)

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2004 9:14 AM
To: KOPISTANSKY, PATRICE I. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: New Nsl

SECRET

RECORD [REDACTED]-HQ-C[REDACTED]

Patrice,

This is a revised edition. I was able to provide you with some more details. Let me know if this is good and I will upload it.

Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: KOPISTANSKY, PATRICE I. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2004 3:53 PM
To: [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: New Nsl

SECRET

RECORD [REDACTED]-HQ-C[REDACTED]

Mike, I'm sorry but this is really not enough (and I've doublechecked with the unit chief here, as well, and she concurs). Can't you dummy down the cable? "FBI investigation has revealed" . . . or something like that. The EC has to be a record of the fact that the information we want is relevant to an authorized investigation. I don't have that here in the EC. I am sure that you know it is true and Gary Bald knows it's true but it needs to be reflected on a piece of paper. So please try to reword the cable so as to give me something to show that this information is relevant to an ongoing investigation. Can you incorporate the cable by reference, or is the classification too high? If you could incorporate by reference, then you wouldn't have to write

the details on the EC, and then if you could provide me with a copy of the cable, it would work. But if that's not doable, you need to summarize the cable in some fashion.

thanks. pik

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2004 3:12 PM
To: KOPISTANSKY, PATRICE I. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: New Nsl

SECRET
RECORD [REDACTED]-HQ-C[REDACTED]

Patrice,

Sorry for the short EC. The problem is I handle most of the emergencies that I receive come from upper mgmt. I don't always receive documentation or know all the facts related to the number which is a problem for me when I try to get the NSL. The cable will provide some insight. The EC I sent you was a draft and the NSL should be directed to [REDACTED]. Because of the classification of the cable I am unable to put the information in the ec. Let me know what you think.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: KOPISTANSKY, PATRICE I. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2004 2:58 PM
To: [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: New Nsl

SECRET
RECORD [REDACTED]-HQ-C[REDACTED]

I am not sure why you chose to do this EC in such a short-hand matter, as opposed to the one you sent me yesterday.. The EC has to specify why the numbers are relevant to an authorized investigation. Maybe I would

know if I read the cable but since I don't have the cable, I need you to tell me in the EC why you want the information. Also, I don't have the name of the carrier or the dates that you want the information from. All of this should be in the EC, as it was in your earlier EC.

thanks. pik

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2004 2:49 PM
To: KOPISTANSKY, PATRICE I. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: New Nsl
Importance: High

SECRET

RECORD [REDACTED]-HQ-C[REDACTED]

Patrice,

The attached document was produced as a result of a phone call with EAD Gary Bald. Bald passed information regarding numbers related to a terrorist organization with ties to the US. Can you please draw up an NSL for the numbers.

Let me know if there are any problems.

I was also wondering how the other request was going.

Thanks

Happy Holidays

[REDACTED]
Communications Analysis Unit
FBIHQ - Room 4315
202-323-4202 (work)

[REDACTED] (SkyTel pager)
202-324-5178 (Non secure fax)

[REDACTED] (Secure fax)

[REDACTED]

DERIVED FROM: G-1 FBI Classification Guide G-1, dated 1/99,
Foreign Government Information
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET